### Zunding Huang

UCSD - ML Seminar

10/27/2023

Zunding Huang (UCSD - ML Seminar) Solving interface problems with deep learnin

- Deep Ritz method
- Interface problems
- Some numerical results

### Introduction of some DNN-based PDE solver

- Deep Ritz method: Solve Possion problems and eigenvalue problems from variational principles.
- PINN & DGM: Train DNNs to approximate the solution by minimizing the residual of the PDEs and also of the initial and boundary conditions.

### **Deep Ritz method**

Deep Ritz method: Deep NN + Ritz method, for solving variational problem. If we want to solve the following Possion's equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = g \text{ in } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is equivalent to

 $\min_{u\in H} I(u)$ 

where

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(x)|^2 - f(x)u(x) \right) dx$$

and

$$H = \{ u \in H^1(\Omega) : u = g \text{ on } \partial \Omega \}$$

4/37



**Figure 1:** A network with two blocks and an output linear layer. Each block consists of two fully-connected layers and a skip connection.

### **Building trial function**

The basic component of DR method is a nonlinear transformation

$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbf{u}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$$

defined by a deep neural network. The i-th block can be expressed by

$$t = f_i(s) = \phi\left(W_{i,2} \cdot \phi\left(W_{i,1}s + b_{i,1}\right) + b_{i,2}\right) + s$$

where  $W_{i,1}, W_{i,2} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, b_{i,1}, b_{i,2} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\phi$  is the activiation function. The full n-block network can be expressed as

$$u_{\theta}(x) = f_n \circ f_{n-1} ... \circ f_1(x)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  represents all the parameters in the neural network.

10/27/2023

### **Building trial function**

Denote

$$\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x};\theta) = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x};\theta)|^2 - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x};\theta)$$

Then original problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{min}_{u \in H} \ I(u), \\ I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(x)|^2 - f(x)u(x)\right) dx \end{cases}$$

will be converted to a numerical optimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min_{\theta} \ L(\theta), \\ L(\theta) = \int_{\Omega} h(x; \theta) dx \end{cases}$$

### **Building trial function**

Since  $\boldsymbol{u}$  belongs to admissible set  $\boldsymbol{H}\textsc{,}$  where

$$\mathrm{H} = \{\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega) : \mathrm{u} = \mathrm{g} \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}.$$

In real, we will use a penalty method and the numerical optimization problem should be:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\theta} \ \mathrm{L}(\theta), \mathrm{L}(\theta) &= \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x}; \theta) \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x} + \beta \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathrm{u} - \mathrm{g})^{2} \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x} \\ &\approx \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}; \theta)|^{2} - \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}; \theta) \right) \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x} + \\ &\beta \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}; \theta) - \mathrm{g})^{2} \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x} \end{split}$$

where  $\beta$  is the penalty coefficient.

10/27/2023

#### Stochastic gradient descent and numerical quadrature rule

Combined with Monte Carlo Sampling, the optimization problem often takes the form of:

$$\min_{\theta} L(\theta), L(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(\theta)$$

where each  $L_i(\theta)$  corresponds to a data point and N is typically very large. SGD in this context is given by

$$\theta^{k+1} = \theta^k - \eta \nabla_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} h(x_{j,k}; \theta^k)$$

where  $\{x_{j,k}\}$  is a set of points in  $\Omega$  that are randomly sampled with uniform distribution.

10/27/2023

### Conclusion

### Advantages:

- It is less sensitive to the dimensionality of the problem and has the potential to work in rather high dimensions.
- The method is reasonably simple and fits well with the stochastic gradient descent framework commonly used in deep learning.

#### Interface problems (usually in molecular solvation)

Interface problems have many applications in physics and biology.

- Heterogeneous porous medium in the reservoir simulation, the permeability field is often assumed to be a multiscale function with high-contrast and discontinuous features.
- Evolution of the shape and location of fibroblast cells under stress. The cell is modeled as a transformed inclusion in a linear elastic matrix and the cell surface evolves according to a kinetic relation.

Today we will mainly talk about the first type of the PDE: It is an elliptic PDE with a discontinuous and high-contrast coefficient.



**Figure 2:** (Left) A schematic diagram of charged molecules immersed in an aqueous solvent. The region of solvation  $\Omega$  is divided by the solute. (Right) A diagram of charged molecules where the region  $\Omega$  is the cube  $[-1, 1]^3$  and the dielectric boundary  $\Gamma$  is a sphere.

### Interface problems

Some numerical methods for interface problems include finite element method and finite difference method:

- Immersed-interface FEM: Second-order convergence in  $L_2$  norm and first-order convergence in  $H_1$  semi-norm. The constants in the error estimate may depend on the contrast of the coefficient.
- Another FEM: Use coarse quasi-uniform meshes. The constants in the error estimate are independent of the contrast of the coefficients.
- Immersed boundary method: Study the motion of surfaces immersed in an incompressible fluid.
- Immersed interface method: Combine the jump condition with finite difference schemes near the interface, second order convergence.
- Ghost fluid method: Incorporated the jump condition into the finite difference schemes with a level set function.

#### Interface problems

Let  $\Omega$  be a  $C^2$ , closed surface such that  $\Gamma \subset \Omega$ . Denote  $\Omega_-$  the interior of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Omega_+ = \Omega \setminus \Omega_-$ . So,  $\Omega = \Omega_- \cup \Omega_+ \cup \Gamma$ . Here,  $\Omega_-$  and  $\Omega_+$  are the solute and solvent regions, respectively, and  $\Gamma$  is the dielectric boundary. As before, we denote by n the unit normal at  $\Gamma$  pointing from  $\Omega_-$  to  $\Omega_+$ . Dielectric coefficient  $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} = \varepsilon_-$  in  $\Omega_-$  and  $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} = \varepsilon_+$  in  $\Omega_+$ , where  $\varepsilon_-$  and  $\varepsilon_+$  two distinct positive constants. Typically, interface problems will be like:

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot \varepsilon_{\Gamma} \nabla u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = g \text{ in } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

with some additional assumptions: u and  $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} \nabla u \cdot n$  is continuous over  $\Gamma$ . This implies  $[[u]] = [[\varepsilon_{\Gamma} \nabla u \cdot n]] = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ .

### Interface problems

Above interface problems can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{-}\Delta u = -f \text{ in } \Omega_{-}, \\ \varepsilon_{+}\Delta u = -f \text{ in } \Omega_{+}, \\ [[u]] = [[\varepsilon_{\Gamma}\nabla u \cdot n]] = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \\ u = g \text{ in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

To apply Deep Ritz method to interface problems, we need to formulate the elliptic PDEs into the variational form. The energy of the system should be

$$\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \left( rac{arepsilon_{\Gamma}}{2} |
abla \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x})|^2 - \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}) 
ight) \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x},$$

and v belongs to admissible set  $H=\{v\in H^1(\Omega):v=g \text{ on }\partial\Omega\}.$  So  $u=\text{argmin}_{v\in H}I(v).$ 

### Inhomogeneous boundary conditions

Instead of penalty method, we will use a shallow neural network to approximate the boundary condition g(x).



#### Figure 3: Neural Network configuration for $\tilde{g}$ and u'.

### Inhomogeneous boundary conditions

Here  $\tilde{\mathrm{g}}$  is the approximation of  $\mathrm{g}.$  It is defined as

$$\tilde{g} = \mathsf{argmin}_{G \in \mathbb{G}} \left( \int_{\partial \Omega} (G - g(x))^2 dx \right).$$

where  $\mathbb G$  denotes the set of all expressible functions by a shallow neural network. This can be approximated by

$$\frac{\mathsf{vol}(\partial\Omega)}{N_0}\sum_{i=1}^{N_0}(G(y_i)-g(y_i))^2.$$

where  $y_i \sim \mathsf{Uniform}(\partial \Omega)$ .

### Inhomogeneous boundary conditions

For inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = g \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Once we have approximation  $\tilde{\mathrm{g}},$  we can solve the following homogeneous Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} Lu' = f - L\tilde{g} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u' = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Final solution  $\boldsymbol{u}$  of original problem can be expressed by:

$$u = u' + \tilde{g}$$

### **Conclusion for now**

### Advantages:

- We solve PDEs through their corresponding variational problems, which avoids the need to compute high-order derivatives of the solution.
- A shallow neural network to approximate boundary condition allows us to simply impose inhomogeneous boundary conditions and reduce computational costs in the training process.

**Elliptic PDEs with discontinuous and high-contrast coefficients** We consider homogeneous elliptic PDEs with discontinuous coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} Lu = -\nabla \cdot (a(x)\nabla u(x)) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

 $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x})$  can be piecewise constant function. The variational problem is

$$J(v) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{a(x)}{2} |\nabla v(x)|^2 - f(x)v(x) \right) dx, v \in \mathbb{H}^1_0(\Omega),$$

and the total functional in terms of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is

$$J(x;\theta) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{a(x)}{2} |\nabla_x v(x;\theta)|^2 - f(x)v(x;\theta) \right) dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \Omega} v(x;\theta)^2 dS.$$

**Elliptic PDEs with discontinuous and high-contrast coefficients** In order to exploit SGD method, we need calculate following:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial J(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta_{k}} &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k}} \left( \frac{a(x)}{2} |\nabla_{x} v(x;\theta)|^{2} - f(x) v(x;\theta) \right) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \left( v(x;\theta)^{2} \right)}{\partial \theta_{k}} dS, \\ &\approx \frac{\text{vol}(\Omega)}{N_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k}} \left( \frac{a(x_{i})}{2} |\nabla_{x} v(x_{i};\theta)|^{2} - f(x_{i}) v(x_{i};\theta) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\text{vol}(\partial \Omega)}{\varepsilon N_{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{2}} \frac{\partial \left( v(y_{j};\theta)^{2} \right)}{\partial \theta_{k}}. \end{split}$$

10/27/2023

### Elliptic PDEs with discontinuous and high-contrast coefficients

Here  $x_i \sim {\sf Uniform}(\Omega)$  and  $y_j \sim {\sf Uniform}(\partial \Omega).$   $N=N_1+N_2$  is called the batch number in the context of deep learning, which implies the number of collocation points used in one iteration.

The parameters  $heta_k$  can be updated by

$$\theta_k^{n+1} = \theta_k^n - \eta \frac{\partial J(x;\theta)}{\partial \theta_k}|_{\theta_k = \theta_k^n}.$$

#### Numerical tests

Let  $\Omega = [-1,1]^2$  and  $x = (x_1,x_2).$  The coefficient a(x) is a piecewise constant defined by

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ egin{array}{l} \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{r} < \mathbf{r}_0, \ \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{r} \geq \mathbf{r}_0. \end{array} 
ight.$$

where  $r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $r_0 = \pi/6.28$ . Source function f(x) = -9r and boundary function  $g(x) = \frac{r^3}{a_0} + (\frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{a_0})r_0^3$ . Based on the the info we have, the unique exact solution is

$$\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{r}, heta) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} rac{\mathrm{r}^3}{\mathrm{a}_1}, \mathrm{r} < \mathrm{r}_0, \ rac{\mathrm{r}^3}{\mathrm{a}_0} + (rac{1}{\mathrm{a}_1} - rac{1}{\mathrm{a}_0})\mathrm{r}_0^3, \mathrm{r} \ge \mathrm{r}_0. \end{array} 
ight.$$

#### Numerical test1

Choose  $a_0 = 10^3, a_1 = 1$ .



#### Figure 4: Profile of the coefficient.



25 / 37

10/27/2023

#### Numerical test1

Batch size is 4096 = 3840 + 256,  $\eta = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ . Data size is about 1GB and iteration for  $3 \times 10^5$  steps costs about 3700 seconds.



**Figure 6**: Solution profile at different stages: initial guess, local minimum, global minimum.

Choose  $a_0 = 1, a_1 = 10^3$ . The other setting is the same as the first experiment.



Zunding Huang (UCSD - ML Seminar) Solving interface problems with deep learnin

#### Numerical test2

The Lagrangian functional has instant fluctuations during the optimization process. However, it does not get stuck at a local minimum. The error function is a monotonic decreasing function. Finally, the error is reduced to about 2%.

#### Numerical test3

For  $a_0 > a_1$ , we want to investigate the convergence speed when the DNN method gets stuck at a local minimum.



Figure 7: Histogram of the number of steps to get out of local minima.

10/27/2023

### Numerical test3

We observe that a higher contrast in the coefficient will lead to a slower convergence in the DNN method. When the contrast is higher, the optimization process of the DNN method has a bigger chance to get stuck at a local minimum. We also observe that about 7% of trials failed to converge within the designed steps.

#### **Numerical test4**

To show the benefit of the mesh-free nature of the DNN method, we consider following 2D elliptic PDE defined on a closed disk  $\Omega = \{x : |x| < 1\}$ , where  $a_0 = 10^3$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ , f(x) = -9r, g(x) = 0.



DNN method can be used to solve PDEs defined in irregular domains.

Zunding Huang (UCSD - ML Seminar) Solving interface problems with deep learnin

#### Numerical test5

To study the performance of our method on parameters (batch size, learning rate) that may that determine the accuracy of the DNN method, we consider a 2D interface problem, where  $\Omega = [0, 1]^2$ , and coefficient a(x) contains high-contrast channels, in order to mimic complicated permeability fields.



### Numerical test5



Figure 8: Profile of FEM solution, DNN solution, error

Batch size is 4096 = 3840 + 256,  $\eta = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ , L<sub>2</sub> relative error is 3%.

#### Numerical test5

If we choose different batch size  $\mathrm{N},$  this will affect the performance.



Zunding Huang (UCSD - ML Seminar) Solving interface problems with deep learnin

#### Numerical test5

Different learning rate  $\eta$  will also affect the performance.



**Figure 9:**  $L_2$  error with different learning rate  $\eta$ .

### Conclusion

We parameterize the PDE solutions by using the ReLU-DNNs and solve the interface problems by searching the minimizer of the associated optimization problems.

- The proposed method is easy to implement and mesh-free since we do not need any special treatment to deal with the interface and boundary.
- We use the DNN method to solve elliptic PDEs with discontinuous and high-contrast coefficients. ReLU-DNN with enough hidden layers and neurons can approximate the solutions well.
- The batch number in the SGD affects the accuracy of the approximation and DNN method is not sensitive to the learning rate.
- The convergence rate for the DNN method is unknown. The issue of local minima and saddle points in the optimization problem is highly nontrivial.

### Thank you!